

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,484	11/28/2003	Jun-Ku Han	45545.18.0	1033	
75	90 12/12/2005		EXAMINER		
John F. Dolan			HOANG, TU BA		
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4000 Pillsbury Center 200 South Sixth Street			3742		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1425			DATE MAN ED. 12/12/2005		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/724,484	HAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tu Ba Hoang	3742	

	Tu Ba Hoang	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply rep	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN To 06.07(f).	ing date of the final rejecti HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amout shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing of).	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi date of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see N ow);	OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separat	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vivided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>nearly</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	oeal and/or appellant fa	ills to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742	
		/ 11 C C 11 C C T = E	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/724,484

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amended claim 1 now has required the non-conductive gap having a shape of concave-convex patterns in which such amendment has raised new issues into the dependent claims 2, 4-9 and 11-13 which would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the recitation of "the shapes in claim 10 would now render the claim indefinite for lacking antecedent basis.